

Preserved

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references

M. T. 45-20(13, 478) 1910-21

(Med. C.)

File No. 48-20

Serial Nos. 12413

Later references.

Med 89-21 822

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 12413

Part B for

Med 1821.

SUBJECT.

Revision of the establishment
of Senior Surgeons' office

8(12) From The Senior Surgeon
D. 70-7 8 18-21

Recommends that the Senior Surgeon's
office be given the increase
scale of pay

8(13) To The Senior Surgeon
D. 2 117 88-21

WD 789 G. R. S. IX-5 11-19

W. A. M. K. K. K.
15. 2. 24

States that Govt are not
prepared to recommend
it unless already passed
the matter.

Serial
No.

Submitted

X The revision of the establishment of the Senior Surgeon's office with effect from 18th July 20, was sanctioned in no. G.O. 2219-20 dated 30th July 20.

✓ The Senior Surgeon in his letter no. G.O. 70 dated 12th August 20, requested to give a few increments in advance to five officials of his office in consideration of the service they have put in. He was informed that the proposition of his order by Govt. was itself a concession and Govt. cannot give the officials advance increments in order that they might put in on higher pension.

3. The Senior Surgeon in his letter no. G.O. 70-5 dated 2nd March 21, again requested that advance increments may be sanctioned to some of the officials. He was informed that previous orders have been passed after a consideration of all the facts of the case & no fresh proposals have been put forward now to justify a reconsideration of the orders passed in the matter. He has recommended the grant of increments to his officials of his office.

by Mr. Audikaravala muddaliar Tel 23.5.21. Not in 26 Years' service & Mr. P.

Subbayam 24 Years. Both of them are said to be drawing their present pay.

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Med File No. 68

of 1920.

Serial
No.

ever since the year 1907. The first is in receipt of a pay of Rs. 60 and the second of Rs. 50 in the grade of 50-4-90. The former was recommended to be given Rs. 90 and the latter Rs. 78 (later Rs. 70). There is no doubt some hardship is involved in both the cases, and the Senior Surgeon has on that account urged a re-consideration of the orders already passed in regard to them. Whether the question might be re-opened at least in regard to these two officials is a point for orders.

(Rev. Secy.)

²³
23/5/20

4 The repeated ^{strong} recommendation of the Senior Surgeon should, I think, be given some weight. They are based on the deservin^g ~~cases~~ nature of the cases, and in order to secure efficiency and proper discharge of work. No doubt, advance increments are not warranted under the rules, as emphatically noted by the Secy, but quite recent instances are not wanting.

229-5 67

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No.

of 19.

Serial
No.

for orders.

(Rev. Secy)

Secy
10/6/21

7151
12.6.21

2nd member) - Draft in accordance with the preceding Manual Secretary.

Secy
25/6/21

Draft submission for approval

7452
28.6.21

28.6.21 26 2nd member)

Secy
28/6/21

0.67
L.

~~28/6/21~~

Secy
27/6/21

13

To The Senior Surgeon General
No L 117 of 8.7.21
States that you are not prepared to reconsider the order already passed in the matter.

12/7

DIARY No. 23975

12	18
SECTION	
Senior Surgeon's Office, M.G.,	
FILE	48-20
Bangalore, 14th May '21.	
SERIAL No.	1845 12

D.O. G.C. 70-7
Med
18/5/21
19/5/21

19/5/21
19 MAY 1921

Dear Sir,

I beg to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No.G.18203-Med.48-20-11 of the 29th March 1921, intimating that Government cannot reconsider the question of increments to five of the officials of my office. Of the five officials recommended, the first two are Senior in the Office, who have been stagnating on their present pay for the past more than 14 years and have not received any increase by the present re-organisation, though their juniors had something with effect from 1st July 1920. If the increment recommended for all the five officials cannot be considered now, I earnestly beg that the Government will be pleased to take into their consideration, the claims of the first two Senior Officials, viz., V.Audikesava Mudaliar and P. Subrayam at least, and grant them the increments recommended for. Although I am fully aware of the present financial stringency, I cannot, in fairness to these two officials, desist from urging the case once more for the favourable

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section

File No.

Serial No

General and Revenue Secretariat

, the

191

No.

Date of issue

To

Signed by

The 915 Senior Surgeon

Sir,

With reference to your letter ^{20.} to
H.C. 70-7 dated the 14th May 21,
requesting ~~recommending~~ that the senior official
of ^{your} office, viz ^{messrs} V. Anil Kumar
and p. Subramaniam may be given the
increased salary as recommended
in ^{your} letter to H.C. 70-5 dated the
2nd March 21 I am directed to
state that such grants are
quite contrary to the principle
over.

6/7/21